EXHIBIT E

EXHIBIT 5 FILED UNDER SEAL

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
3	AT SEATTLE
4	
5	In Re:)
)
6) No. 2:21-cv-00563-JCC
	VALVE ANTITRUST LITIGATION)
7)
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF SCOTT LYNCH *** HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY ***
16	9:11 A.M.
17	THURSDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2023
18 19	701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 5100
20	SEATTLE, WASHINGTON
21	
22	
23	Reported by: Tami Lynn Vondran, CRR, RMR, CCR/CSR
24	WA CCR #2157; OR CSR #20-0477; CA CSR #14435
25	

	Page 29
1	software at Valve.
2	Q. The software that you referred to earlier by a
3	code name of Gazelle.
4	A. So when did somebody at what point was a
5	group a team working on a project called Gazelle and
6	writing code?
7	Q. Sure. Let's start there.
8	A. I don't know.
9	Q. You don't recall?
10	A. I really don't. I don't recall. It would
11	have been a long time ago.
12	Q. When did Valve decide to distribute games for
13	third parties?
14	A. When did we decide? We decided, I think, when
15	we did our first third-party game deal, which I think
16	was in 2005.
17	Q. Steam had been released prior to that; right?
18	A. Yes. Steam had been announced, I think, in
19	2003.
20	Q. Was that September of 2003?
21	A. That sounds in the right timeframe.
22	Q. And at that time, Steam was patching Valve's
23	multiplayer games, for instance?
24	A. No. We were patching our games through other
25	means.

	rage 30
1	Q. What was Steam doing when it was released
2	initially in September of 2003?
3	A. God, you really should talk to somebody that
4	worked on the Steam team. There may have been it may
5	have been a way for people to get updates. It's
6	possible updates were still being distributed through
7	old methods. I just really think you'd have to talk to
8	somebody on the Steam team to go back that far and kind
9	of talk in detail about what features under the name
10	Steam were available.
11	Q. Like who?
12	A. Well, I would probably talk to maybe John
13	Cook was working on Steam back then. Maybe Erik Johnson
14	might recall going back that far.
15	Q. Do you have an idea of what Steam was doing
16	what the software was doing between September of 2003
17	and the first third-party published distributed
18	through Steam in 2005?
19	MR. CASPER: Object to the form of the
20	question.
21	A. What it was doing?
22	Q. (BY MR. SIEBERT) Yeah. What was its purpose?
23	MR. CASPER: Object to the form of the
24	question.
25	A. What was Steam's purpose?

	Page 31
1	I don't know. I'm not even sure I understand
2	the question.
3	Q. Is Valve in the habit of developing software
4	that nobody will use, that doesn't do anything?
5	A. That has happened.
6	Q. Can you give me an instance when?
7	A. Games that we never shipped.
8	Q. But the purpose of a game that never was
9	shipped is hopefully to ship it at some point; right?
10	A. Yeah. Theoretically, yep.
11	Q. Okay. Steam was released in September of
12	2003; right?
13	A. Yep.
14	Q. It was doing something at that time; right?
15	A. Yep.
16	Q. You have no idea what it was doing at that
17	time?
18	MR. CASPER: Object to the form of the
19	question.
20	A. Yep. Like I said, you know, you if you
21	want to know you want to talk in detail and try to
22	figure out going back 20 years exactly which thing Steam
23	was doing, probably the best person to talk to would be
24	an engineer working on Steam.
25	You've referenced game updating. It probably

	Page 32
1	was one of the things that Steam was doing. And I think
2	around that time I don't know if it was in 2003, but
3	there was a game Counter-Strike, I think, Condition Zero
4	that we might have shipped on Steam.
5	Q. (BY MR. SIEBERT) Counter-Strike is a
6	multiplayer game; right?
7	A. Yeah.
8	Q. Were Valve's multiplayer games hosted at that
9	time on something called the World Opponent Network?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Where were they hosted?
12	A. Well, they weren't by "hosted" what do
13	you mean by "hosted"?
14	Q. How was Valve patching or updating multiplayer
15	games such as Counter-Strike?
16	A. Oh, like where were we putting the patches so
17	that users could get them?
18	What timeframe are we talking about? 2003 or
19	before 2003?
20	Q. 2003, 2004.
21	A. Well, it would be best to talk to somebody
22	that was working on those patches and distributing those
23	patches. But, generally, you know, in the early days,
24	people would go to FTP download sites. So patches would
25	be distributed via a number of places. We would put the

	Page 33
1	patch out there, people would put it up on their
2	download sites and people would download it.
3	I don't know if what things you may have
4	been able to do and update via Steam with at that
5	time, because it was a long time ago, but Steam now
6	definitely does updates for games and has done it for a
7	long time.
8	I just can't remember back in 2003, you know,
9	which vehicles we were using to do updates. Steam may
LO	have been one of them. FTP sites and download sites we
L1	might have continued to use. It's just hard to remember
L2	that long time ago.
13	Q. The International is coming up; right?
L 4	A. Yep.
L5	Q. That's a Dota tournament?
L 6	A. Yeah.
L 7	Q. It's here in Seattle?
18	A. It is.
L9	Q. Is Mr. Newell attending that?
20	A. I don't know. He likes to attend it.
21	Q. He likes to attend it, you said?
22	A. Yeah. He's a big fan.
23	Q. He likes Dota?
24	A. He does.
25	Q. Does he play?

		Page 34
1	A. 1	He does.
2	Q. 3	You would expect him to attend, given that he
3	likes it?	
4	A. :	I don't I don't know. I really don't know
5	what his p	lans are. I haven't talked to him about it.
6	Q. 1	Are you going?
7	A. :	I am going to go.
8	Q. 7	Who's your favorite hero?
9	A. :	I don't have a favorite hero.
10	I	Do you want some tickets?
11	Q. 1	Not particularly.
12	A. 3	You're dropping hints.
13	Q. I	Mr. Lynch, was do you know whether or not
14	Valve was n	making patches available for games such as
15	Counter-St	rike through the World Opponent Network?
16	A. 1	No.
17	Q. 3	You don't know one way or the other?
18	A. 1	We were not.
19	Q. 3	You don't believe you were?
20	A. 1	No.
21	Q. 1	At some point in 2005, Valve began
22	distributi	ng third-party games through Steam; is that
23	right?	
24	A. 3	Yeah, that's what I recall.
25	Q. 1	What was the first game?

	Page 35
1	A. I believe it was Rag Doll Kung Fu.
2	
6	Q. Why did Valve begin distributing third-party
7	games through Steam?
8	A. Because the work that we had done with Steam
9	we thought could be valuable to third parties, other
LO	than ourself. And that customers would enjoy it as
L1	another alternative to buy games, and that we thought
L2	there were a bunch of advantages for developers and
L3	customers with online distribution versus traditional
L 4	packaged goods distribution.
L5	Q. You mentioned the difference between online
L 6	distribution and traditional packaged goods
L 7	distribution.
18	What are those differences?
L9	A. Well, there's there's lots of them.
20	There for one, you know, packaged goods distribution
21	is limited in terms of shelf space because, you know,
22	they're physical items that take up space.
23	You have to put the game on a piece of
24	plastic, CD-ROM, DVD, and then you've got to put it in a
25	box and make it in a factory. And then you have to ship

	Page 99
1	Q. And you reply to this; right?
2	A. Let me see.
3	Yes.
4	Q. You write, "I think we should write a preamble
5	directly to developers themselves that goes along with
6	the notice of the amendment."
7	You see that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Were you imagining here direct outreach
LO	between Valve employees and specific developers?
L1	A. I think that is going to go to all Steam
L2	partners with an existing distribution agreement.
L3	Q. Do you see later in that paragraph where you
L 4	write, "I think it should be tailored as a private
L5	communication (with the knowledge that it will likely be
L 6	publicized)"?
L 7	A. Yes.
L 8	Q. Okay. Was the communication that you were
L 9	contemplating a private one?
20	A. Well, it was going to somebody that had a
21	Steam Distribution Agreement versus being, you know,
22	posted on our website, but
23	Q. Did you contemplate this going to all
24	publishers and developers?
25	A. As I recall, it was going to be a note that

	Page 100
1	was going to go along with an amendment to all
2	everybody that had a Steam Distribution Agreement at the
3	time.
4	Q. Do you see in the second paragraph where you
5	write, "If we're concerned about shaping messaging on
6	the topic because it will eventually be discussed
7	publicly, I'd do that with a bunch of call downs to
8	developers we believe are opinion leaders in the space
9	(maybe do a batch via email with a draft message
10	followed by a call and then test how it was received
11	before rolling it out to the entire audience)."
12	Do you see that?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Did that happen?
15	A. I am guessing that, yeah, they would have
16	reached out to a bunch of developers to talk about it,
17	tell them what was coming, yeah.
18	Q. And this would have been in late November of
19	2018 and early December of 2018?
20	A. I don't recall who it might have been
21	discussed with earlier. Possibly earlier, but, yeah,
22	definitely some of those conversations about the change
23	would have happened in November and December of 2018.
24	Q. There were some developers or publishers who
25	were informed before this?

	Page 101
1	A. Possibly, yeah.
2	Q. Who would those people have been?
3	MR. CASPER: Object to the form of the
4	question.
5	A. Off the top of my head, I don't know.
6	Q. (BY MR. SIEBERT) You go on to write,
7	"Thinking of those that are thought leaders and will
8	talk online or with press folks. They will be most
9	convincing. We'll sound self-serving."
10	Do you see that?
11	A. Uh-huh.
12	Q. By "We'll sound self-serving," you mean Valve
13	will sound self-serving; right? That's the "we" there?
14	A. Yeah.
15	Q. Why did you think Valve would be
16	self-serving or sound self-serving if they announced
17	the revenue share?
18	A. I don't think it was about announcing the
19	revenue share.
20	Q. What was it about?
21	A. I assume it was about all the messaging around
22	the revenue share, why we were doing it, what our goals
23	were, those kinds of things.
24	Q. What were the goals?
25	A. Well, one, the goals were to, you know, have a

	Page 102
1	better revenue share for games that hit those certain
2	tiers, to make sure that large games and large audiences
3	were incented to be on Steam because there is high value
4	to them being on Steam for everybody that's in the Steam
5	ecosystem. You know, developers, other developers,
6	customers, et cetera. Those are some of the goals.
7	Q. Was one of the goals to bring back certain
8	developers or publishers who had stopped publishing
9	games on Steam?
10	A. Yes. Yeah.
11	Q. Did some of those developers or publishers
12	also sign exclusive deals with Epic later in time?
13	A. I don't know. Possibly, yes.
14	Q. Division 2, for instance, signed an exclusive
15	deal with Epic?
16	A. I think they did, yeah.
17	Q. Who publishes that?
18	A. If I remember, that was Ubisoft.
19	Q. Why was it important to get the messaging out
20	prior to Epic's announcement?
21	A. Well, because we had put so much thought into
22	doing this and how we were doing it and why we were
23	doing it. And the you know, the goal was to that
24	was an important part of, you know, really what was a
25	major change, and we didn't want that to get lost.

Page 103		
And it it would have weirdly looked like it		
was for a different reason than all of the other reasons		
that we had, you know all the other reasons why we		
had decided to do it, which at the time I don't think we		
knew that Epic was planning to release a store.		
Q. However, before you announced the revenue		
share change, you did learn that Epic would be releasing		
a store?		
A. I think we did. Yeah, I think there were sort		
of, you know, bubblings but, you know, I don't think		
they had told us. But we had gotten more and more		
confident that Epic was going to announce a new store,		
yeah.		
Q. And this was in the same timeframe that the		
revenue share was being discussed?		
A. Tim might have even told us shortly before,		
but, yeah.		
Q. You said "Tim might have even told us shortly		
before"?		
A. Yeah.		
Q. You're referring to Tim Sweeney?		
A. Yeah.		
Q. And that's the CEO of Epic?		
A. Yes.		
Q. Higher up in response to your email is an		

		Page 104	
1	email from	m Sean Vanaman.	
2	A.	Yes.	
3	Q.	Mr. Vanaman states in his email,	
4	"Nevertheless, if they're announcing 80/20 across the		
5	board then our timing isn't important and PR doesn't		
6	seem valuable."		
7		Do you see that?	
8	A.	Let's see. Sorry.	
9		Doesn't where does he say that? I looked	
10	at the right page.		
11	Q.	Bates ending in 683, second paragraph.	
12	A.	Oh, okay.	
13		(Witness reading to himself.)	
14		Yes.	
15	Q.	"They're" in this sentence is referring to	
16	Epic; right?		
17	A.	Yes, I yeah. Appears to, yep.	
18	Q.	Mr. Vanaman is suggesting that Epic's	
19	announcement of 80/20 would make your announcement less		
20	valuable?		
21	A.	No. I don't know what you mean.	
22	Q.	He says, "our timing isn't important and PR	
23	doesn't seem valuable"; right?		
24	A.	Yes.	
25	Q.	And that's PR relating to the new Valve	